A MEIGHCEADING

Ein Galland by all find

by with the R

kolitins kirk	b. Hebith Black ass	
Hite Ab 6 & \$2007). m6CH did (BA S -2;	В& Ко
Matind	h 2004) albar	StaCta(total
ighty50% Ottinbystly	R 1986).	WeisabelGelov
(IS CaB a 2000), blyhelle	ahijip 4—66 -jilijih	
Fh60% (1)441h	q dl fti	
planbelipojab (eg	ly, babk pobljid	
ind, 2004 ; in		
jubishishis do no	-7-T-1-3-	
by by 1005). By by 1005	philip dia	700 A 1
	66 ihis iioli 2007; itel, 2007	6& Alp). Scholartib
gr kalpatrispolarja istoianascha(eg. talc	letile statelleri). ISTERMENT
aktalanda(g jab		16h 2007). Cs
interior interior in the contract of the contr	istante di la constante di la	j. Cb
577	izoskid Flenklebia	
Limitations of Current Mental He	ealth deighis	
Screening in Schools	Gel Epophlas6	
Screening in Schools	gharigath A 68 %	
A htaht a gp bi	(Set , 2002), taBASC-2 BladEtan
hilds depolo %	ES (BE,S)	1
61sideshts16bmile	EDS 51999). AttBESS6bd
16. 10.		D6& K2007 ;
itherite	Medy 2007	; M et, 2010), solo
engl(eg §ningli6 Bha Dial 	initis Elatean	
indiatrin	i rindi jo	
Nejs Helethirlesn	ja d ele ECBI (Eba& 1999)
tidismdakibilg	dB (beh 199	
d	aD big	6 a 1997) id n
All bishight	tsdigCjalokienibi to	
idin jagan	ijsi ib	
Ah Ob &		
	hab bianhelfab þig	
ghbjahybbi(eg	Ka bhshblóailigeóla en	
ja Dfa Dgb/Elat & Dp. 2010 1661992). Takingsta	; jk & h h dijkh isédih bihisode (bilal	
[6] [992). [6] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1	Sprijaujja	
2002), S D (N § 1992), R E n 6	illipl	
), & R eller (Berlinen	
EX (R Data1993), allign	physical characterists	
sch(E; Lph Bp & Ap	6x95 Hightlyth	
1994) Justipisca Islando	nChifAd(þ//ini
think	.dA #1 04056- #).
Fhilipin		
(Dph 1993) db Ely Cli Black (Dph 1993) db Ely Cli Black	Th	ne Impairment Rating Scale
(ECBI Elge 1999) a slob do	Hints (R	Finela, 2006) a
Makhirip	sp(je)anjali (si)anjab	1 ucu , 2000) u
it it it is a second of the se	dinini(iie, dib b	
किंद्र विभिन्न केंद्र (क्	h jin he ko hidd	
6 fieth, 2004) significant	kas (streid a fall	
ig proplijajai a	ghie 6 h.R. illue	
Charliffy yn	sh shi dhi a	
tilli (chaptingth	b din a b þ in þiðin	FI.1. 2006
hashbell Hai	bal n	First, 2006), at the
liktoruchytó Hulk inde	pr(Entell, 20	
հիակին բրահու այր հրաժանական անդանագր	idhigad(b/R.15 & tal 2008	Fineh, 2010 ; 📦). A fist6
en man / un film	WARTHE THE COLO). A usu

3 ithbritg6h d Fint, 2006). Hills hiri birks(£ jrb

B1

IRS Scores for Kindergartners by Parent- and Teacher-Based Risk Status According to the BASC-2 (Study 1)

rs

gin 24 o 46). Note hav
abstract bif the iR
subspirits and BAS-2 (
gin 02 o 31). The iBable h
content BAS-2 En Con
po regin 30 o 49), charin
table BAS-2 so (
clust BAS-2 so (
rs h table .32). As
playthe (p

taffeldir HRAUseld delet idilerid HRHR HAHD 66062, 3, d4 n(a hadR bbbBAG-2 kbribbBAG-2 k STATE AND THE REAL PROPERTY AND THE REAL PRO Man (ted ta(e **B**3). TAUs 6h Rottel Manda Baran BAS-2 km/579 to 87, jub th BAS-2 kt/e B HARRIE T 4). Betelüllet B bbAUsh AUs 6 h p R in il bis all bishbisian BAG-2 ktarefieldoleh eritoribilitatibili high 50 to 53. TAUstylks F**httstiksitels**v Challes BAS-2 kandina 62 to dbbbb (28.2% 6H) .66, **MANUALIT** BAS-2 kts 20.6% fresBAS-2 kts. tasia ti A Usfibb Raid tiphBAS-2 ktackingh Summary and Limitations **bghh** igm 58 0.60. To hald the BAS-2 in High AUs(**B H** 1995 ; **\$**1996 ; **a** e Han A Usaka Hakat nd). **Trakty obich** ote (ie, the blanks at bisebseedslife ilde te più la biois la HR. Bh(MR MI 983) Expre talBAS-2 o Biscoista etristane Rich within rater la botho Hali H alide AU has Fold Be handBAS-2 so Contablata ALBAC-2 ka hAUste el Catvola bas Am2 ha Esta ha adhira idhas63 c4 asabbb indicate AU file daktastelybBAG-2. Floth Ha(h .05; ejain **H**3). N indez in indudad igideed6bp.Rig **68** ühkka 62 sidelling Fis Aim 3: Diagnostic efficiency. Telebom habbein \$1 ik(Ν 568), **b** introbRsillo **adbiblio**(63% **a** ede, ballibria 6hh 6 hhBAC-2 DEV Area Under the Curve (AUC) for Parent and Teacher IRS babinajahop.R Scores Identifying Teacher-Based and Parent-Based At-Risk shiftBAC-2 stiffe Status by BASC-2 Composites (Study 1) Hibble BloXo blatabjahydelbjafdb BBBAS-2 Manisadabolada taolais 6 blair 6 blai Rih inio filadojeh dala bondbir A hisev HAR CHILIPPIA evidings 1 tally 6 Design edolointelo telebax URSS HILL tatie, el tes libria idifin satal Three **ein**\$2. Study 2 Method Participants. The babble 1, elsisional (tabilata **11.52**. **11.62**73 **11.94**% files et ip lakte (n 12; 100% fm100% Cin. 69273, 242 td

HEREBESE

dedinby Sodinb hidoB6bklbglog ge killerbrie **MiliChas** 0.8% **M** 95.5% Cia(2.1.% dapleh1% AtiAinAin dAintAhiN **tagg** 5.61 (SD .46). **hhilliphdh**inh **philip**7.0% **fb**7.4% **fights** 23.6% **fb** 38.5% **f ia inglosale** 45.9% **ista**n 28.1% fibel 8.7% fiber 5.4% fo **h th** 4.1% **th** 1.2% **th T** isiskeltelfbig Measures.

Parent demographic questionnaire.

```
Behavioral outcomes. Alisando
diploplication Etc.
basalakhtelmide
da data ka da ja
blobby Ebblebylobe
gillakkribjila
ilib ilibiyyə
ali ju bojepadju
(ballah, dadga
alichiapia Athelia
dittibilitib
cishifthyl lifefgyddi
6btskillyidbbb6
skillskillergel
in bola Etablicia 80%
gyl Historialdol
fa Wilhi istrofijaki
igstransfig(80\% g 4 to 65)
git tellfatelikke80% tel(2 p
cylig, tiedddd
ellinik©.
   Procedure. This supply to R. R
cit jhighliik
```

gibApiAju Fibop phhijiqdbpR ndhigg ph priphido

Kontrol Coefficients Among Parent and Teacher IRS Scores and Teacher-Based BESS, Quarterly Grades, Tests Scores, and Daily Behavior (Study 2)

B

b	8	BES	Fisq gd	Feaq gi	Fistą jis	Fbaq jobs	B ia Man
EIR.							
Eb .	.23	**	.14*	.15*	.10	.04	.25**
illo illo	.14	*	.07	.11	.08	.07	.16*
Aidfin	.21	*	.08	.03	.10	.02	.23**
Ban	.07		.00	.00	.05	.01	.03
F h	.12		.03	.09	.04	.02	.15*
1	.22	*	.14*	.13*	.12	.06	.20**
R							
Eba .	.59	**	.30**	.25**	.15*	.24***	.63*
Hb.	.64	**	.29**	.26**	.11	.19**	.58*
Aidh	.69	非非	.56**	.47**	.38***	.40**	.60*
8an ̂	.67	**	.33**	.30**	.15*	.22**	.68*
Chap	.62	**	.43**	.38**	.23***	.35***	.55*
10	.71	非非	.47**	.46**	.29***	.37***	.65**

Note **blackpish** delaptish R 6 BES Blackbish * p .05. ** p .01. *** p .001.

.47), **stijk** Apple D Alighe **f**n .25 b rsporn edigleb BESking .11 to 38), Etiples(rsagfal 9 to globelial (juli 55 b .40), **data**n rspan55 to 68). Aim 2: Discriminant validity. .66). M M 983) **ad** lar i Filitakelo o**intage Ric**h within rater Ha psikh BEŞ billi film MAU habita pdbReoiswilly igAU is68) jr AUsela BE**Ska** TAUs 6bb Rsilia **History B**7). TAUsth **Male**BE**Stati**n \mathbf{g} ktadeskta(.57.68) db .86 to 93, **julijih** tollasta (.65.75) glav

& Area Under the Curve (AUC) for Parent and Teacher IRS Scores Identifying Teacher-Based At-Risk Status by BESS Composite, Grades, Test Scores, and Behavior (Study 2)

		BES			Fise	gi takta		ghik	F ee q			F jals	istą.			Fibiq is		В	latik ta	
			(n	26)		(n	37)		(n	24)			(n	71)		(n	45)		(n	27)
Rein		AU	SE	95% CI	AU	SE	95% C	I AU	SE	95%	CI	AU	SE	95% CI	AU	SE	95% CI	AU	SE	95% CI
₽ .58	.62	æ	.07	[49, .75]	.52	.05 [41, .62]	.53	.06 [40), .65]	.54	.04	[46,	.62] .54	.05	[44, .6	54] .58	.06 [45, .71]

moderate diagnostic validity of the teacher IRS test score inferences. The AUCs for the teacher IRS ratings identifying behavior risk status ranged from .82 to .89, suggesting moderate to strong diagnostic validity of the teacher IRS test score inferences in identifying classroom behavior problems. The AUCs for the parent IRS ratings identifying risk status based on first- and fourth-quarter grades and reading tests scores or daily behavior reports were significantly lower and not much better than chance, ranging from .48 to .60.

Aim 3: Diagnostic efficiency. To examine which level of impairment on the parent and teacher IRS best differentiates typical and at-risk children according to the BESS, diagnostic efficiency statistics were examined for cutoff scores of 2, 3, and 4 on (a) teacher-rated IRS identifying teacher-based BESS risk status and (b) the parent-rated IRS identifying teacher-based BESS risk status (see able a). Consistent with Study 1, there was no single domain on the parent or teacher IRS that had higher AUCsathan other domains. Thus, the overall impairment score on the parent and teacher IRS were used for these analyses. Further, the base rates for at-risk status on the BESS in the current sample were wertp 2 the 48 statiste 4810.7%; the 48 (see

outcomes, there may be utility in the coessof having parents and teacher both complete ratings at kindergarten entry. Namely, parent receipt of a report providing feedback of the screening may facilitate early communication between parents and teachers (Girio-Herrera, Owens, & Langberg, 20)14nd monitoring of the problematic behavior, possibly fostering early service engagement among parents.

Thus, given the promising results obtained in this study, further examination of the role of the IRS in a multistep screening process may be fruitful. For example, school professionals could use the parent IRS to obtain a preliminary profile of children at kindergarten entry. Consistent with previous resear Eablano et al., 2006, scores of 3 or 4 on the IRS seem to be appropriate cutpoints for detecting a broad definition of risk status. Such data may help principals distribute higher risk children across general education classrooms, as well as identify children who warrant early monitoring. Because teacher test score inferences have greater diagnostic utility than parent test score inferences, a parent score of 3 or higher could simply be used to trigger monitoring. However, teacher scores obtained at the end of the first grading period may trigger additional activities, such as a specific parent-teacher team meeting, referral to early intervention program, and/or additional assessment. Ultimately, the role of the screener and an optimal cutpoint should be determined on the basis of the school district's goals, intended purpose of screening, and the availability of other tools and resources. Further, school professionals must consider

and behavioral problems at kindergarten entry: Diagnostic utility and predictive validity of parent report